

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313 1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/31/2000 1384 09/699,503 David C. Cushing 2566-106 EXAMINER 6449 7590 10/01/2004 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. WASYLCHAK, STEVEN R 1425 K STREET, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 800** WASHINGTON, DC 20005 3624

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/699,503	CUSHING ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven R. Wasylchak	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tatute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>luly1, 2004</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 and 18-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	'	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -

Application/Control Number: 09/699,503

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Claims 1-16 and 18-21 are pending.
- 2. This action is in response to remarks filed on July 1, 2004.
- 3. The rejection under 35 USC 112 is withdrawn; prior rejections remain.

Examiner's arguments:

- 4. Claim 1 states a plurality of servers. Mere multiplicity or duplication of servers in not novel. Whether there is a 1:1 mapping of server to algorithm or a 12:12 or a 12:1 mapping is not novel as no new result exists. One server with a consolidated database of unique and separate algorithms is equivalent to 3 servers each dedicated to a specific algorithm.
- 5. Claim 2 teaches program trading, whether for clients or "internally traded" (claim 14). Whether the algorithm program strategy is for in-house use or on behalf of clients, no new function results because of this mere substitution of parties. Likewise, whether the client or his trustee or executor or in-house broker issues orders for a quantity of shares under an algorithmic program trading is not novel as no new outcome is created.

This action is **FINAL**. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven R. Wasylchak whose telephone number is (703) 308-2848. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. EST.

Art Unit: 3624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin, can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Art Unit 3624 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Steven Wasylchak

9/21/04

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Vincent Mille